**Evaluative Statement to RESC on behalf of HDR Candidate …..**

A Candidature Committee meeting was held on ………………………

Present were <candidate> (phone link), <Associate Dean Research Education>, Advisory Panel <>

The Committee noted that one examiner had recommended SA (a) and the other had

recommended RR.

The Committee considers that both examiners' reports were positive about the value of the work and each identified the need for corrections. Examiner 1, who recommended SA (a), identified a number of specific changes that need to be made to the thesis including the need to update the literature. These requirements have been summarised by the candidate and specific responses indicated. This Examiner requires the corrections identified to be made to the satisfaction of the Head of School.

Examiner 2, who recommended RR, also makes many positive comments about the thesis and the work and shares the concerns about the lack of recent literature and gaps in appropriate material particular in reference to the more recent literature from Australian researchers. This Examiner also identifies problems with the methodology/concept development and refers to the recent Australian literature with the recommendation that this literature should have been consulted in the development of the methodology.

The candidate agrees that more recent (i.e. post 2008) literature published since the field-work was completed will be included in the thesis including all references identified by the Examiners, paying particular attention to the Australian literature.

The Candidate is concerned that some of the suggested changes regarding the methodological approach proposed by Examiner 2 cannot be made, because she believes the proposed changes will be at odds with the Research Agreement negotiated with the ……………Aboriginal community in 2005, within the context of which the research was originally conducted. It is noted that much of the literature on alternative methodologies referred to by Examiner 2, was published after the fieldwork had been completed.

Given these circumstances and the different recommendation between the two examiners, the Committee requests that ………………….. The candidate is in the process of completing a spreadsheet of examiners' requirements and proposed responses to decide how the thesis can be progressed and review the necessary changes. If the RESC agrees with the proposal ……………

Owing to work commitments the candidate is not likely to achieve a rewrite completion by

……………. The Committee agreed to seek approval for final submission of the corrected thesis by………………...
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